

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/981,958	10/16/2001	Joseph J. Florio	A01P1073	7296
36802 7590 . 06/01/2005		EXAMINER		
PACESETTER, INC. 15900 VALLEY VIEW COURT		FOREMAN, JONATHAN M		
SYLMAR, CA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			3736	

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Mw	
address	
d abandonment of evidence, which 37 CFR 41.31; or ithin one of the	
chever is later. In no	
FILED WITHIN TWO	
e extension fee have ension fee under 37 or (2) as set forth in (b) I, may reduce any	
months of the date sal of the appeal. .37(a).	
red because	
ying the issues for	
nent (PTOL-324).	İ
ndment canceling	
an explanation of	
will <u>not</u> be entered nce is necessary	
brief, will <u>not</u> be nt fails to provide a 3(d)(1). attached	

		140
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/981,958	FLORIO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jonathan ML Foreman	3736	
	41	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence a THE REPLY FILED 03 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other e places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed wi following time periods: The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, which event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate exte CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL ___. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two n 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on ___ of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismiss Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be enter (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplif appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendments 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed ame the non-allowable claim(s). 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: ____ Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal v because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evide and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a better the date of fil entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appella showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. 🔯 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejection of the claims by De Lurgio et al. is directed to the embodiment shown in Figures 3 - 8, not Figure 2. The Examiner inadvertently cited figure 2. In paragraph number 6, "Response to 'Arguments", it is stated that De Lurgio et al. clearly shows the combined length of the main body and the flexible portion being longer than the lead body (Figure 8; Col. 8, lines 11 - 21). 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. 🔲 Other: ___

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050524

MAX F HINDENBURG

PERVIGU. TO TEXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700